

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I object in the strongest possible terms to the State Environmental Planning Policy proposed to amend the Tweed LEP 2014. The land proposed at Cudgen is primarily zoned RU1 Primary Production and is State Significant Farmland. The land has been actively farmed up until the point Health Infrastructure instructed farming activities to cease, and is a source of employment and income for Tweed families.

Imposing a hospital on important agricultural land is lost income to the region, particularly when other sites are available with a lower economic impact. In this regard, food security is also increasingly important - especially for vegetables which are a primary source of nutrition.

This policy also contradicts community standards addressed in the Tweed LEP in terms of building height limits and the identity of Kingscliff as an agri tourism destination.

Choose another site.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=292814

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Please do not be put off your efforts in providing this very important infrastructure project to the Tweed Coast area. Do not be distracted by the inappropriate protestors about the site selection. There are a silent majority of Tweed Shire rate payers who are very happy with the decision of the site selected and appalled at the behaviour of the protestors - a number of who I believe may have personal, vested, financial interests in trying to change the site. Please proceed post haste.

On a personal note, I feel it is important that there be plenty of relaxing, green or garden space (including a community garden?) which can be used by both patients and staff. It is my view that the wellbeing of the staff is as important as that of the patients.

I would also like to see a 24 hour GP service (or at least extended hours) as there is currently no GP service available in the Kingscliff area on weekends. This needs to support the work of the Emergency Department where there may not be an immediate emergency but doctors available to treat people on weekends.

Please go forth and conquer!

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293008

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email:

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Please do not publish my personal details.

I support the Proposed State Environmental Planning Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital and attach a letter outlining my support.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293018

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Attachment:

[REDACTED]

Attention:

Deputy Secretary – Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

5th November 2018

Dear Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Re: SSD 18_9575- Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing **in support** of the proposed State Environmental Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I **have not** made any political donations, reportable or otherwise, in the previous two years. I **do not** wish for my details to be identified when submissions are made public following close of the public exhibition period.

Proposed Amendments – Rezoning of the Land

- I support wholeheartedly the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments – Height of Buildings

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Height of Building Controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Minimum Lot Size

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road,

Cudgen proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Floor Space Ratio

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Floor Space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I support the proposed amendments to height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ration with the full understanding that these proposed amendments relate only to the site at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen for the purpose of a Health Services Facility (Tweed Valley Hospital) and that the final height building controls, minimum lot size controls and floor space ration will be determined on merit during the assessment of any development applications for the site. I support this rezoning with the understanding this is relevant only to the site acquired for the purpose of building the new Tweed Valley Hospital.

The provision of a level 5 major referral hospital for the Tweed & Byron Local Government Areas is a significant investment in health infrastructure for the Shires. It is desperately needed as the current Tweed Hospital is functioning at maximum capacity and under enormous pressure with significant population increases forecast that will place additional pressure on current services. I support the NSW Government's decision to provide our community the new Tweed Valley Hospital, at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen. I believe the value of a hospital far outweighs the value of a small sweet potato crop previously on the site. I believe our community deserves and needs better health care facilities and the Tweed Valley Hospital will achieve that.

Yours sincerely

[Redacted Signature]

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I support the rezoning of the property at 771 Cudgen Rd to SP2 Infrastructure in order to build the Tweed Valley Hospital.

I am a Kingscliff resident and look forward to the development of this important and much needed health facility for the Tweed Shire.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293010

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name:

Email:

Address:

Content:

I strongly object to the Cudgen State Significant Farmland as the site for the new Tweed Hospital.

My reason for my objection are, it's State Significant Farmland, most of Australia is in drought. Why do the State government want to destroy State Significant farmland land that produces 12 months of the year. The rich red soil in Cudgen produces 10 million dollars per year of fresh produce. Food that Australians need.....not food from overseas. Food that feed our nation.

The infrastructure of this location, will not cater to the increased population that a hospital this size will bring to our area.

Kingscliff is a small coastal village, a holiday destination for many. The limited rentals & additional traffic will cause magic parking problems

We have been told that it is the responsibility of the Tweed Shire council to update the infrastructure. No budget has been set for such a huge development. The rate payers should not be responsible either. The current height limit of 3 storey , will go to 7 to 9 storey's. That put the hospital in the flight path. It also will open the rest of the State Significant Farmland to future development. This will be the end of farming in Cudgen. My family are the 5th generations, please don't take my grandson right to farm their land away. Because this site will do that. You can not have a Hospital one side of the road & farmland the other

To destroy good farmland is ludicrous, we have to protect it.

Please Save our Farmland for future generations. Farmers are not made from university degrees.... farmers come from generations on the land.

Tweed Heads elderly have brought their homes around Tweed Hospital. How can you expect these retirees to travel to Kingscliff, when most have no transport. Tweed Heads will suffer without the hospital & the community that surrounds it.

Tweed Shire Council offered their council chambers as land to extend Tweed hospital. It was rejected, why??? I strongly think Tweed Hospital should be upgraded, as every thing is in place. Tweed hospital isn't in a flood area, as Kingscliff is. The last flood, the roads were cut off for days. No traffic can get to Kingscliff. As you know during a emergency like a flood, there is a increased need for hospital & medical treatment.

SAVE our farmland

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293037

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Helen Holt

Organisation: Retired ()

Email: helen_holt@msn.com

Address:

Unit 1

CUDGEN, NSW

2487

Content:

I believe the Cudgen site is the only one to meet the criteria listed in the original submission and wholeheartedly trust the professionals in their reasoning. I live in Cudgen close to the site and am not at all perturbed by the upcoming noise, traffic and construction which will no doubt be increasing during this phase but believe it is for the greater good of the community in the long run. Hopefully the very loud minority protesting for mostly selfish reasons will be ignored and construction will start ASAP. Thank for your listening.

IP Address: - 114.73.157.10

Submission: Online Submission from Helen Holt of Retired (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293088

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Please see attached pdf

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293254

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Attachment:

[REDACTED]

Attention:

Deputy Secretary – Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

5th November 2018

Dear Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Re: SSD 18_9575- Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing **in support** of the proposed State Environmental Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I **have not** made any political donations, reportable or otherwise, in the previous two years. I **do not** wish for my details to be identified when submissions are made public following close of the public exhibition period.

Proposed Amendments – Rezoning of the Land

- I support wholeheartedly the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments – Height of Buildings

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Height of Building Controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Minimum Lot Size

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Floor Space Ratio

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Floor Space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I support the proposed amendments to height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ration with the full understanding that these proposed amendments relate only to the site at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen for the purpose of a Health Services Facility (Tweed Valley Hospital) and that the final height building controls, minimum lot size controls and floor space ration will be determined on merit during the assessment of any development applications for the site. I support this rezoning with the understanding this is relevant only to the site acquired for the purpose of building the new Tweed Valley Hospital.

The need for a new Tweed Valley hospital is paramount. Having read significant literature on the site evaluation process and conclusions, I whole heartedly support the current proposed site and wish speedy development and completion of a much required infrastructure for the region.

Yours sincerely

[Redacted Signature]

Email:

Phone:

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Annelise Grace

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

Upper Duroby, NSW
2486

Content:

I object to the proposed location at Cudgen for the new Tweed Hospital for twenty reasons

1. The location is over State Significant Farmland (SSF) which is protected for its high value as farming land
2. The location of the SSF is drought free which is currently true of only 2% of NSW
3. The land is capable of growing a wide variety of fruit and vegetables
4. The land is an important component of our very long term future for our local food bowl
5. Development of the hospital at this site will be detrimental to adjoining SSF and farmlands as more of our farm land will be required for development of associated health services that will inevitably come with this hospital
6. Rezoning SSF sets a rezoning precedent for other works to be developed on our local SSF
7. The proposed hospital is up to 9 stories and is forecast to change our beautiful sleepy coastal village into the 'city of Kingscliff'.
8. For decades of future planning this site has never been considered by Local Government as appropriate for a large referral hospital or what is wanted for the future of our area.
9. The roads within the township of Kingscliff and around the proposed hospital are narrow, winding and cannot handle the increase in traffic.
10. Parking for the hospital and around the township of Kingscliff will make travel difficult and will congest the streets
11. The site is directly opposite existing farmlands whose production capacity will be reduced due to the hospital buffer zones
12. The roads to the north of Kingscliff flood in a 1/100 year event reducing access to the hospital for the main population of the area to the north
13. The proposed hospital is set high on the hill behind Kingscliff and will be a huge unpleasant aesthetic presence over the town
14. The site is directly adjoining protected areas that endangered animal species inhabit
15. Many people have chosen to live close to the existing Tweed Hospital and will be disadvantaged by this move and the closure of the existing hospital
16. The economy of our existing city centre of Tweed Heads will suffer from the loss of the existing hospital and associated services
17. Community consultation commenced after the proposed hospital site was announced which is a divisive way to conduct community consultation
18. The height of the proposed hospital will set a precedent for building heights to rise in Kingscliff changing the aesthetic of the town forever
19. Kingscliff is currently a highly sought after tourist destination because of its coastal aesthetic.
20. There are dozens of other proposed sites in our area that would have many of the benefits of this site and less of the issues that can be chosen or, the existing hospitals at Murwillumbah and Tweed upgraded as was the original intention of our Local Government.

Thank you

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Annelise Grace (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293428

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Wendy Meehan

Email: meehan.beth@gmail.com

Address:

16/79-83, Tweed Coast Road

BOGANGAR, NSW
2488

Content:

I strongly object to works being undertaken prior to the DA being approved. This is not how such a massive undertaking as this huge hospital should be done. A house cannot be started until the DA has been approved, there should be no difference in this case. This is State Significant Farmland, and should remain as such. There are plenty of other sites that could have been chosen, eg., Kings Forest, the selection process was set up to favour this site only. The nature of Kingscliff will be changed immensely, farms will be lost and at the same time Tweed Heads will become a ghost town.

IP Address: - 1.144.108.0

Submission: Online Submission from Wendy Meehan (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293447

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I believe this is the wrong site for the hospital

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293460

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Gary Boyd

Email: garyboyd909@gmail.com

Address:

20 Callistemon Ave

Casuarina, NSW

2487

Content:

I support the proposed rezoning and selected site for the new hospital. Stop listening to the noisy minority and just build the new hospital. We need it!

IP Address: - 203.3.65.1

Submission: Online Submission from Gary Boyd (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293463

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Jennifer Andersen

Email: jennyandersen02@yahoo.com.au

Address:

215/34 Monarch Drive

Kingscliff, NSW

2487

Content:

Document uploaded

IP Address: - 1.158.27.249

Submission: Online Submission from Jennifer Andersen (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293548

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Attachment:

Jennifer Andersen

215/34 Monarch Drive

KINGSCLIFF NSW 2487

Attention:

Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Department of Planning & Environment

GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

6th November 2018

Dear Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Re: SSD 18_9575- Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing **in support** of the proposed State Environmental Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I **have not** made any political donations, reportable or otherwise, in the previous two years. I **do not** wish for my details to be identified when submissions are made public following close of the public exhibition period.

Proposed Amendments – Rezoning of the Land

- I support wholeheartedly the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments – Height of Buildings

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Height of Building Controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Minimum Lot Size

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Floor Space Ratio

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Floor Space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I support the proposed amendments to height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ration with the full understanding that these proposed amendments relate only to the site at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen for the purpose of a Health Services Facility (Tweed Valley Hospital) and that the final height building controls, minimum lot size controls and floor space ration will be determined on merit during the assessment of any development applications for the site. I support this rezoning with the understanding this is relevant only to the site acquired for the purpose of building the new Tweed Valley Hospital.

The Doctors & Nurses at Tweed Hospital have been crying out for a new hospital for many years. The Tweed Hospital has become very overcrowded & cannot accommodate the growing population. We need a level 5 Hospital to cater for Cancer treatment, heart treatment & many other services that currently cannot be provided for at Tweed Hospital. I **wholeheartedly support** the new Tweed Valley Hospital to be built in Kingscliff.

Yours sincerely

Jennifer Andersen

0403129043

jennyandersen02@yahoo.com.au

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I support the proposal of the location for the new tweed ditsrtict hospital

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293557

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I Support and agree to the above submissions in rezoning part of 771 Cudgen Rd.

I support the removal of the Height of Building Controls of 771 Cudgen Rd, Cudgen proposed to be developed as Health Facilities.

I support the minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Rd, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as Health service Facilities.

I support the removal of the floor space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Rd, Cudgen proposed to be developed as Health Service Facilities.

I would personally like to thank you for giving us this long needed, Health Facility.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293595

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I Support and agree to the above submissions in rezoning part of 771 Cudgen Rd.

I support the removal of the Height of Building Controls of 771 Cudgen Rd, Cudgen proposed to be developed as Health Facilities.

I support the minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Rd, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as Health service Facilities.

I support the removal of the floor space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Rd, Cudgen proposed to be developed as Health Service Facilities.

I would personally like to thank you for giving us this long needed, Health Facility.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293597

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Submission attached

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293758

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Attachment:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Attention:

Deputy Secretary – Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

7th November 2018

Dear Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Re: SSD 18_9575- Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing **in support** of the proposed State Environmental Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I **have not** made any political donations, reportable or otherwise, in the previous two years. I **do not** wish for my details to be identified when submissions are made public following close of the public exhibition period.

Proposed Amendments – Rezoning of the Land

- I support wholeheartedly the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments – Height of Buildings

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Height of Building Controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Minimum Lot Size

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Floor Space Ratio

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Floor Space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I support the proposed amendments to height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ration with the full understanding that these proposed amendments relate only to the site at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen for the purpose of a Health Services Facility (Tweed Valley Hospital) and that the final height building controls, minimum lot size controls and floor space ration will be determined on merit during the assessment of any development applications for the site. I support this rezoning with the understanding this is relevant only to the site acquired for the purpose of building the new Tweed Valley Hospital.

This site is the best site due to

- 1 Ability to have nuclear treatment underground due to slope of site
- 2 The ambiance will aid patients recovery due outlook to sea, to mountains, to Tweed Valley
- 3 Family members can have "time out" at so many places at Kingscliff eg beach, creek banks
- 4 All major works such a sewerage readily available
- 5 A clean site so construction can take place without affecting any other services

Yours sincerely

[Redacted Signature]

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Richard Carter

Email: richard@richardcarter.com.au

Address:

32 Walter Crescent

Banora Point, NSW
2486

Content:

see attached

IP Address: - 211.27.10.232

Submission: Online Submission from Richard Carter (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293770

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Attachment:

Richard Carter
32 Walter Crescent
Banora Point
NSW 2486

Attention:

Deputy Secretary – Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

7th November 2018

Dear Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Re: SSD 18_9575- Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing **in support** of the proposed State Environmental Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I **have not** made any political donations, reportable or otherwise, ever. I have no preference whether my details are identified when submissions are made public following close of the public exhibition period.

Proposed Amendments – Rezoning of the Land

- I support wholeheartedly the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments – Height of Buildings

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Height of Building Controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Minimum Lot Size

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Floor Space Ratio

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Floor Space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I support the proposed amendments to height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ration with the full understanding that these proposed amendments relate only to the site at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen for the purpose of a Health Services Facility (Tweed Valley Hospital) and that the final height building controls, minimum lot size controls and floor space ration will be determined on merit during the assessment of any development applications for the site. I support this rezoning with the understanding this is relevant only to the site acquired for the purpose of building the new Tweed Valley Hospital.

If the requirement to get this much needed public facility is to rezone a small portion of farmland of dubious value, then I implore the government to move forward with this endeavour with all haste. I recently had reason to visit the current facility for an emergency. The treatment I received was first class from the point of view of the staff. They couldn't be faulted. However, the conditions they are expected to work under are borderline third world.

I do not believe the reasons put forward by objectors to this site are valid, it's purely emotive self interest. The alternative suggested is laughable.

I believe due process was fully observed in the final decision of which site to choose. I don't believe any one person stands to gain from this decision, but my word, the community does.

I believe the explanation given by the government to justify not redeveloping the current hospital site (which until recently was my favoured option) and the need for a much more extensive health facility for the whole shire is reason enough.

Kingscliff stands to gain a huge economic benefit, during construction and once the hospital is fully operational. I don't believe moving the hospital from its current location will be in any way detrimental to the economy of Tweed Heads. It may even prove to be a huge benefit. The prospect of allied health services replacing the current hospital would prove a boon anyway. Or sell it to Ramsey Health (just Joking).

I have lived in and around Tweed Heads since 1978 and there have been enormous changes during that time. On both sides of the border. I believe there is a hint within the documents that Tweed Heads could be destined to become a city. Which I am also strongly in favour of. I digress.

I have been in business of one form or another all of the time I have lived here and I believe this proposal is among the best to have happened to the district, along with Southern Cross University, John Flynn Private Hospital and the continued development of the Coolangatta Airport, this new public hospital will become another essential service that before long will be taken for granted, just like the others.

Yours sincerely

Richard Carter
32 Walter Crescent
Banora Point NSW 2486
0413 543 157
Richard@richardcarter.com.au

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

You can not bully the people that vote you in. They will vote you out just as fast
Save State Significant farmland and find another site in a better location
Don't ruin Kingscliff!!

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293776

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Julie Myles

Email: Julie_myles@yahoo.com.au

Address:

36

Banora point, NSW

2486

Content:

I support the change from state significant

We need this hospital and realise this needs to be changed

So we can have a much needed hospital

IP Address: - 114.74.198.129

Submission: Online Submission from Julie Myles (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293814

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I FIRMLY AGREE WITH THE SITE CHOSEN FOR THE NEW TWEED VALLEY HOSPITAL ,A
HOSPITAL IS DESPERATELY NEEDED FOR THE TWEED AND BYRON SHIRES

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293959

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Kate de Jude

Organisation: ravvl (Owner/operator)

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

Fingal Head, NSW
2487

Content:

I support a hospital on any site other than fertile food growing land. There are other and better choices. Make a better choice.

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Kate de Jude of ravvl (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294023

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name:

Email:

Address:

Content:

The site chosen at Kingscliff was designated State Significant Farmland over a decade ago for a good reason, as the land is droughtfree and extremely fertile, it is the last very productive cropping land left in the Tweed Shire.

There are other more suitable sites available for the expansion of the current hospital, either at Tweed Heads by taking over council owned land or at Kings Forest, where the land is vacant and not fertile soil.

Tweed Heads has already been planned as the site for any expanded and upgraded hospital, as per the plan endorsed by the Health Department in 2017. Why has this course of action, which had total community support, been changed? Is it because of the decision of Minister Brad Hazzard? When asked this question at a community forum, he told us that he had simply "changed his mind". This is not a good enough reason for the 90,000 residents who will be affected by his decision. Kingscliff has fought long and hard for a 3 storey height limit, but with one stroke of a pen all of our work over the last 30 years will be gone. Once a nine storey hospital is allowed to be built on our western edge, the precedent will have been set and many more high rise, State Significant Structures, will be able to be built. The domino affect will then spread as more farmland will be gobbled up and covered in concrete.

I would not be so upset if this site in Kingscliff is the only possible central site for a hospital for our region, but it isn't!!!! There are other sites available which would not affect so many residents, and would not destroy the tourist industry which we have worked so hard to build up. Our politicians are telling us that it is too expensive to expand at Tweed Heads, but I doubt this very much. Tweed Heads is already zoned for multi storeys, and with land acquired from the local council, a brand new tower could be built with an overhead walkway connecting it to the current hospital, with no inconvenience to the patients at all.

All the infrastructure is already in place there, with a really good public transport system for the elderly and poorer people who can't afford taxis. I think, as do the majority of Tweed residents, that the very best option is to expand the hospital onto the Tweed Shire Council Land, with a multi storey car park to alleviate the parking problem.

However, if this is totally impossible, which I don't believe is the case, there are other better alternatives.

If the new hospital were to be built at Kings Forest, it would not destroy the villages of Kingscliff and Cudgen, nor the fertile red soils there.

There could be a totally planned health precinct over a site more than twice the size of the Kingscliff site at Kings Forest on flat land, not sloping like the Kingscliff site. The reason the "experts" dismissed Kings Forest was because some parts of it are below the one in one thousand year floods! The site that it could be built on at Kings Forest is 33 hectares which is above this level.

The chosen Kingscliff site is landlocked to the east, with all access roads into it apart from Tweed

Coast Road cut off in any flooding event, as happened in 2017 and many times before !
It makes no sense at all to declare the site has been chosen because it is flood free, when there is no access apart from the southern coastal villages during a flooding event. The majority of the population live north of the Tweed River, and according to forward estimates to 2036 will continue to do so!

I will be writing more in my objections to the EIS. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED], I have seen many changes in my time here. They have all been positive, until this totally flawed decision has been foisted upon us.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294060

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Organisation: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I object to the chosen site for the Tweed valley hospital because as a resident I feel the wrongful rezoning of SS Farmland will effect us and future generations - once the land is gone, its gone forever, it it will lead to the eventual demise of the Cudgen plateau agricultural sector, creating more land being taken for commercial and residential needs. More buildings - less agricultural land.

*Kingscliff residents have, for years, had a community consultation process for future planning, to keep Kingscliff as a tourist destination, this will be destroyed with a major hospital on our doorstep.

*What will stop the Government from rezoning more land to accommodate future expansion of the hospital and its growing needs?

*Also Government changing current height limit restrictions in the Tweed LEP to suit any future hospital needs.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] of Resident (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294139

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: gayle haglund

Email: gghaglund@gmail.com

Address:

29 hastings rd

bogangar, NSW

2488

Content:

this land is too precious to be built on as it is a significant agricultural precinct. When water and good soil are becoming more threatened our food bowl in Cudgen is to be protected at all costs. We do not need more houses here but we do need good farm land. There are many suitable hospital sites within the Tweed without destroying our food bowl. the proposed hospital site and the speed in which the Nationals want to push this through is an obvious tactic to allow developers in by the open door. This is a land grab from developers in the name of a hospital. We need a hospital but not on this Cudgen Plateau where farming has been its prime use since the Tweed has been occupied. Please protect this land for agricultural use.

IP Address: - 49.197.180.107

Submission: Online Submission from gayle haglund (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294199

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

see attached file

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294274

Submission for Job: #9659
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Attachment:

[REDACTED]

Attention:

Deputy Secretary – Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

8th November 2018

Dear Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Re: SSD 18_9575- Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing **to support wholeheartly** the proposed State Environmental Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I definitely have not made any political donations, reportable or otherwise, in the previous two years. Please do not make my details identifiable when submissions are made public following close of the public exhibition period.

Proposed Amendments – Rezoning of the Land

- I support wholeheartedly the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments – Height of Buildings

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Height of Building Controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Minimum Lot Size

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Floor Space Ratio

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Floor Space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I support the proposed amendments to height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ration with the full understanding that these proposed amendments relate only to the site at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen for the purpose of a Health Services Facility (Tweed Valley Hospital) and that the final height building controls, minimum lot size controls and floor space ration will be determined on merit during the assessment of any development applications for the site. I support this rezoning with the understanding this is relevant only to the site acquired for the purpose of building the new Tweed Valley Hospital.

As the area is growing so rapidly the current Tweed Hospital is at its maximum and over. This new hospital will provide state of the art departments for all. As residents we will not need to travel over the border and sometimes to Brisbane to access health services. I am hoping these services will come to the new hospital. The Cudgen address is the most practical as it ticks all of the criteria it was offered for. By “starting from scratch” the new hospital can be designed to enhance the area and allow for future growth.

Yours sincerely

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Re: SSD 18 9575 "Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing to support of the proposed State Environmental Policy "Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I have not made any political donations, reportable or otherwise.

I do not wish my details to be identified when submissions are made public.

Proposed Amendments "Rezoning of Land

I support the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments "Height of Buildings

I support the removal of the height of building controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, for the proposed health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments "Minimum Lot Size

I support the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health service facility.

Proposed Amendment "Floor Space Ratio

I support the removal of the floor space ratio controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I have read the documentation as to the selection process that identified 771 Cudgen Road as the preferred location for the new TV Hospital, the location mitigates flood concerns within the area and allows access for residents on the growing Southern Border of the Tweed Shire. The close proximity to the TAFE may allow training and employment opportunities for the shire. The farm is also away from the majority of SSF land located on the Tweed Coast highway and does not fragment remaining farmland. I am therefore supportive of the hospital and location.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294283

Submission for Job: #9659
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

please refer attached file

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294289

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Attachment:

Attention:

Deputy Secretary – Planning Services
Department of Planning & Environment
GPO Box 39, SYDNEY NSW 2001

9th November 2018

Dear Deputy Secretary – Planning Services

Re: SSD 18_9575- Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing **in support** of the proposed State Environmental Policy - Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I **have not** made any political donations, reportable or otherwise, in the previous two years. I **do not** wish for my details to be identified when submissions are made public following close of the public exhibition period.

Proposed Amendments – Rezoning of the Land

- I support wholeheartedly the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments – Height of Buildings

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Height of Building Controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Minimum Lot Size

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments – Floor Space Ratio

- I support wholeheartedly the removal of the Floor Space ratio Controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I support the proposed amendments to height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ration with the full understanding that these proposed amendments relate only to the site at 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen for the purpose of a Health Services Facility (Tweed Valley Hospital) and that the final height building controls, minimum lot size controls and floor space ration will be determined on merit during the assessment of any development applications for the site. I support this rezoning with the understanding this is relevant only to the site acquired for the purpose of building the new Tweed Valley Hospital.

The new Tweed Valley Hospital is urgently needed health infrastructure. No delay is acceptable. A comprehensive site selection process has been undertaken and the Cudgen site has been determined as the best site for this hospital. The significance of this land as SSF is far outweighed by the critical need for this hospital. This parcel of land is insignificant when compared to the vast amount of land in the region that is classified as SSF. It is noted that a large portion of the SSF land in the region appears to be not farmed and underutilised. The proposed amendments appear to meet all requirements for the necessary approval.

Yours sincerely



Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Re: SSD 18 9575 "Tweed Valley Hospital

I am writing to support of the proposed State Environmental Policy "Tweed Valley Hospital SSD18_9575. I have not made any political donations, reportable or otherwise.

I do not wish my details to be identified when submissions are made public.

Proposed Amendments "Rezoning of Land

I support the rezoning of part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen from RU1 Primary Production and R1 General Residential to SP2 (Health Services Facility).

Proposed Amendments "Height of Buildings

I support the removal of the height of building controls limiting the maximum height of buildings for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen, for the proposed health service facilities.

Proposed Amendments "Minimum Lot Size

I support the removal of minimum lot size controls for that part of 771 Cudgen Road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health service facility.

Proposed Amendment "Floor Space Ratio

I support the removal of the floor space ratio controls for that part of 771 Cudgen road, Cudgen proposed to be developed as a health services facility.

I have read the documentation as to the selection process that identified 771 Cudgen Road as the preferred location for the new TV Hospital, the location mitigates flood concerns within the area and allows access for residents on the growing Southern Border of the Tweed Shire. The close proximity to the TAFE may allow training and employment opportunities for the shire. The farm is also away from the majority of SSF land located on the Tweed Coast highway and does not fragment the remaining farming land.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294293

Submission for Job: #9659
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Belinda Davies

Email: Beldavies@icloud.com

Address:

24 Oceanview crs

Kingscliff, NSW

2487

Content:

I object to the SEEP due to:

- * Wrongful rezoning of SS Farmland (as per 1st dot point in the EIS guide below). Land is currently zoned RU1 and is State Significant Farmland It needs to be protected as a national asset, a valuable industry for the Tweed Shire and as food security for future generations.

- * Triggering of eventual supplementary rezonings adjacent to the Hospital in accordance with the Minister for Planning & Environment's announced plans for an extended "Regional Health Services Precinct" adjoining the Hospital site, thus undermining the remaining prime agricultural land's already at-risk viability threshold of 500ha. It only needs loss of another 30ha to lose its special protection altogether.

- * Facilitating automatic rezoning of adjoining land to support facilities associated with Tweed Regional Hospital.

- * Contradicting current height limit restrictions in the Tweed LEP which were established through extensive community consultation.

- * Rendering years of community consultation and planning (around Kingscliff as a tourist destination) redundant, through the massive social and economic footprint of the hospital.

- * Setting a precedent for the eventual demise of the Cudgen plateau agricultural sector, with ancillary health services and associated commerce and residential needs taking up additional land in much the same way as the Hospital.

IP Address: - 114.73.95.126

Submission: Online Submission from Belinda Davies (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294319

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Olwen Anderson

Email: office@olwenanderson.com.au

Address:

Suite One

Murwillumbah, NSW

2484

Content:

I object to the re-zoning of this land: This land was classified as state significant farmland with an eye to future food security. In a time when the local population is expanding rapidly, food security will become increasingly important. Bypassing this careful classification will erode the planning process for other important sites in the future.

IP Address: - 58.171.92.141

Submission: Online Submission from Olwen Anderson (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294365

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I object to the wrongful rezoning of SS Farmland as it will trigger eventual supplementary rezonings adjacent to the Hospital

This development proposal also contradicts current height limit restrictions in the Tweed. We moved from the Gold Coast to get away from the hectic overdevelopment and poor planning that has ruined the coastal lifestyle. It would be abhorrent to see Kingscliff opened up to the greedy development style that has ruined the Gold Coast

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294371

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: David Platen

Email: david_nathan_platen@live.com.au

Address:

1 prospero st

Murwillumbah South, NSW
2484

Content:

Building a hospital over state significant farmland will absolutely destroy the local environment. The noise of the heavily increased traffic will deter many locals from staying in the area also not to mention the noise that helicopters would make taking off and landing from the hospital. I believe that the department has got this wrong and needs to take a good hard look at the actual evidence that proves this will damage the environment around Kingscliff

IP Address: - 1.129.108.33

Submission: Online Submission from David Platen (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294386

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Fay Akers

Email: faysakers@gmail.com

Address:

5 Bosun Blvd

Banora Point, NSW

2486

Content:

I object to the proposed location at Cudgen for the new Tweed Hospital for twenty reasons

1. The location is over State Significant Farmland (SSF) which is protected for its high value as farming land
2. The location of the SSF is drought free which is currently true of only 2% of NSW
3. The land is capable of growing a wide variety of fruit and vegetables
4. The land is an important component of our very long term future for our local food bowl
5. Development of the hospital at this site will be detrimental to adjoining SSF and farmlands as more of our farm land will be required for development of associated health services that will inevitably come with this hospital
6. Rezoning SSF sets a rezoning precedent for other works to be developed on our local SSF
7. The proposed hospital is up to 9 stories and is forecast to change our beautiful sleepy coastal village into the 'city of Kingscliff'.
8. For decades of future planning this site has never been considered by Local Government as appropriate for a large referral hospital or what is wanted for the future of our area.
9. The roads within the township of Kingscliff and around the proposed hospital are narrow, winding and cannot handle the increase in traffic.
10. Parking for the hospital and around the township of Kingscliff will make travel difficult and will congest the streets
11. The site is directly opposite existing farmlands whose production capacity will be reduced due to the hospital buffer zones
12. The roads to the north of Kingscliff flood in a 1/100 year event reducing access to the hospital for the main population of the area to the north
13. The proposed hospital is set high on the hill behind Kingscliff and will be a huge unpleasant aesthetic presence over the town
14. The site is directly adjoining protected areas that endangered animal species inhabit
15. Many people have chosen to live close to the existing Tweed Hospital and will be disadvantaged by this move and the closure of the existing hospital
16. The economy of our existing city centre of Tweed Heads will suffer from the loss of the existing hospital and associated services
17. Community consultation commenced after the proposed hospital site was announced which is a divisive way to conduct community consultation
18. The height of the proposed hospital will set a precedent for building heights to rise in Kingscliff changing the aesthetic of the town forever
19. Kingscliff is currently a highly sought after tourist destination because of its coastal aesthetic.
20. There are dozens of other proposed sites in our area that would have many of the benefits of this site and less of the issues that can be chosen or, the existing hospitals at Murwillumbah and Tweed

upgraded as was the original intention of our Local Government.
Thank you

IP Address: - 121.212.136.166

Submission: Online Submission from Fay Akers (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294462

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Organisation: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I fully support the chosen site of &&1 Cudgen Road, Cudgen. I am a long time local and an ageing baby boomer. I have worked for many years in Aged Care Respite and Disability Services. I have witnessed firsthand how difficult it is for these people to access health services out of our local area, as many don't have transport. Now, I am in the same position as many of my clients, requiring knee surgery. I don't have private health cover, and the waiting lists for public services are extreme. I am very concerned that I will have to give up work while waiting for the surgery. This new large regional hospital should be able to reduce waiting time for necessary surgery.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294482

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Health Infrastructure are requesting the rezoning of State Significant Farmland (SSF) to the status of State Significant Development (SSD) to allow the Tweed Hospital to be built at Cudgen. Following are points I would like to make in regard to this requested rezoning.

The Cudgen farm land is drought free unlike many other farming areas throughout NSW. The soil on this farming land is precious. Good soil is vital for growing nutritious food, one of the drivers of preventing illness. The vision needs to be about prevention rather than cure and relying on a hospital to "fix" illnesses.

The Sustainable Agriculture Program commenced by Tweed Shire Council seeks to improve the viability and environmental capacity of the Tweed's farmland. Training for biodynamic farming has assisted farmers to continually improve the Cudgen soil and farming practices. The farming industry has a bright future in growing not only sweet potatoes but many vegetables vital for wellness.

Proponents of the Cudgen site believe the views of farmland will assist in healing sick patients. The Kings Forest site also has views eg of a nature reserve with the possibility to sight a koala, easy access to the M1 and would not take away a farming industry. Rezoning and concreting the Cudgen site sets a precedent to rezone other farming land within the vicinity and ruining the significant farming industry in the area along with community values.

Concrete and a hospital is best placed on another site that does not have rich soil and a farming industry. Choosing another site means having a farming industry, health infrastructure and a tourism industry = diverse jobs.

The Kings Forest site seems to be a site that could be a good "alternative" to Cudgen. It doesn't impact State Significant Farmland. The main drawback of the Kings Forest site seems to be in regard to koala habitat yet the State Government overruled Tweed Council to allow the estate to have domestic dogs in the Kings Forest site. Dogs are known to be a main cause of the death of koalas. Another impact on koalas in the Kings Forest site is traffic. Clothiers Creek Road to the south of the Kings Forest koala corridor has signage to slow down for koalas yet the speed of the road is 70 km/h which is too fast and incongruent to the goal of protecting koalas and other wildlife. In fact, drivers drive at speeds faster than 70 km/h and there will be little chance of koalas surviving in any event. Koala groups have already stated that they do not have hope for the survival of koalas in the future due to dogs, cars and disease.

If the State and Federal governments would expend monies into road infrastructure from the M1

through to Kings Forest this would be a good option. Seems governments are always putting the cart before the horse in this respect. They wait until roads are bursting at the seams before they consider it necessary. The Kings Forest site could link directly with the M1 which takes pressure off local roads specifically Tweed Coast Road and Clothiers Creek Road = hospital, retention of SSF and more chance of survival of koalas.

After years of community consultation and expense to the ratepayers, height restrictions of buildings will be overturned by the State Government at the Cudgen site. The height of a level 5 hospital is out of place in the Cudgen location yet such hospital would nestle into a location like Kings Forest because of the surrounding tall trees of the nature reserve which must be maintained for koalas in any event.

The NSW Government site selection summary report dated July 2018 states:

“The location of the site will not fragment the Cudgen Plateau and will limit flow-on impacts to other SSF.” p12.

However, a precedent will be set for more development on SSF. This has already been confirmed by the Minister for Planning & Environment announcing plans for an extended regional health services precinct. The community must not be put in a position where they are continually fighting against development of the SSF.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294526

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I fully support the State Environmental Policy Plan..

I also acknowledge this will have zero affect on the remaining State Significant Farm Land at Cudgen.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294611

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I have read the documents and conclude these are appropriate actions for the development of the Tweed Valley Hospital.

These actions will have nil affect on the remaining Cudgen farm land.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294613

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I object to the New Tweed Valley Hospital being built on Cudgen Road for numerous reasons.

1 - Concreting some of the only drought free, nutrient dense soil left in NSW is absolutely absurd.

2 - The disruption it will cause the locals of Kingscliff and Cudgen ie: noise pollution, heavy road traffic, cars parking on suburban streets to avoid paid parking.

3 - Majority of the Tweed population is in Tweed - the hospital should be in Tweed.

4 - Elderly people who chose to reside in Tweed Nursing homes to be close to the hospital will have services put too far out of reach.

5 - The Cudgen site is too far away from the highway and not accessible to the majority of tweed residents during our common flood season.

6 - The multi story hospital being built in a town with a three story height limit will open up avenues for developers to bend the rules in the future also.

7 - The same for future developers and rezoning of land.

8 - AGAIN, CONCRETING OVER DROUGHT FREE, NUTRIENT DENSE, FOOD PRODUCING FARMLAND IN NSW SHOULD NOT EVEN BE CONSIDERED.

Leave Kingscliff as a village and Cudgen as Farmland.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294845

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Dot HOLDOM

Email: kim.holdom@bigpond.com

Address:

86 Kingscliff Street

Kingscliff, NSW

2487

Content:

I have read the accompanying documentation to the proposal to the making of a site specific State Environmental Planning Policy (SEPP) that would amend the Tweed Local Environmental Plan 2014 by rezoning part of 771 Cudgen Road Cudgen (Part Lot 102 DP 870722) from Zone RU1 Primary Production and Zone R1 General Residential to Zone SP2 Infrastructure (Health Services Facility) making health services facilities and ancillary uses permissible with development consent. In making this submission I fully understand that the amendments will also remove the current maximum height of buildings, minimum lot size and floor space ratio controls.

IP Address: - 1.156.27.114

Submission: Online Submission from Dot HOLDOM (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295232

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I am a former resident of Kingscliff and still have family living there, so I know the area very well. I am horrified at the thought of that farmland being concreted over for a Hospital when there are better sites available on land that is not productive.

I am strongly against the rezoning of this prime farmland for the following reasons.

For many years locals have campaigned for a three storey height limit, but that will all go out the window if a nine storey building goes opposite the Kingscliff swimming pool.

The State Significant zoning of this land means it should always be protected for our children's and grandchildren's future health, or do we want to all be eating imported frozen rubbish from China.

There was no community consultation about this choice of site at all, and after the Supreme Court hearing we now know that this land was chosen in December 2017, making an absolute mockery of the supposed 6 week consultation period. The Health Department was never going to deviate from this site, as finally with a State Significant project it could trump the zoning of the land, something which Nationals Party members have been trying to do unsuccessfully for the last 13 years.

Tweed Heads, where the current hospital is sited, is already zoned for multi storeys, and a new tower built there would fit in with all previous council planning. This was passed in 2017 as the North Coast Regional Plan, so just what is actually happening here? Why have years of prior planning and community consultation been totally tossed out? What is the hidden agenda here? Many locals believe it is a case of mates helping out old mates, and the rush is on to get it started before they lose the next election.

I signed a petition, along with over 8000 other people, but nothing was mentioned about it in Parliament. Why not? Do we still live in a democracy or do certain politicians believe they are above the laws of our land. Tweed Shire Council is against this project as it wipes out the last ten years of their work on the LEP, concerning social impacts and the dreadful consequences to thousands of locals who live in Kingscliff and Cudgen. Please keep this land as State Significant Farmland forever, instead of paving paradise and putting in a hospital with not enough parking lots!

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED]

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295349

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I am objecting to the site of the Tweed Valley Hospital at Kingscliff. I was brought up in Kingscliff and have seen many changes over the years, but the hospital site will destroy everything that I love about Kingscliff, Cudgen and the surrounding farmlands.

My reasons are as below

- 1 The land is State Significant Farmland and should never have been considered.
- 2 Once a hospital is built here, the domino effect will see all the other farmland rezoned as well. Thus we lose a very important food source for our coming generations.
- 3 Kingscliff has fought long and hard for a three storey height limit. A nine storey hospital will open the floodgates for further high rise development in the area as the precedent will have been set.
- 4 The majority of locals want the hospital expanded and upgraded in Tweed Heads, which is already zoned for high rise. There is land available there as the Tweed Shire Council have offered their land. An above ground walkway could be built joining the new tower with the old building, which could be refurbished once the new one is completed.
- 5 There was no community consultation whatsoever before the site was announced, and it has been left to locals to spread the news about the closure of the hospital to the majority of elderly residents who live there.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295518

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

The rezoning of State significant farmland to build a hospital will lead to all the farming land on the Cudgen Plateau being eventually rezoned for allied health buildings. It took more than ten years for Tweed Shire Council to have this land declared state significant, and this can be changed by the decision of one man in Sydney. The politicians don't care where our future food supply comes from. In this time of drought across most of the state, this fertile farmland which has been continuously farmed up till now is too precious to be built on as it is drought free.

It supplies fresh vegetables for all of Australia!!!

Kingscliff residents have fought hard to maintain a three storey height limit in the village, yet a nine storey hospital on top of a ridge is considered OK by Sydney Politicians. We are not Sydney, and tourists come here for the coastal and rural ambience. Rezoning this land will lead to the death of the Tourist industry here.

Please send a couple of experts from your department to see for yourselves the conditions here. To rezone precious farmland for a hospital which can be built elsewhere is madness.

There have been many attempts in the past to rezone the land on the Cudgen Plateau, mainly by Don Beck, former Nationals MP for this area. They have all failed because the land has been considered too valuable for food production to concrete over. Why is this reason not valid now? Perhaps because a hospital is regarded as more important than land, so once the hospital goes in the Kingscliff Land Company will make many millions developing their land. They didn't put it in for the Expressions of Interest. Why not? Because they knew that this site was going to be chosen. Some people also knew last December that this was the chosen site, as they have an optional contract for the land next door to the hospital, which becomes a done deal once the hospital is announced. Do the words Insider Trading mean anything to you? That is what has happened here.

Our local roads will not cope with a development of this massive size, yet our government has made no plans for major upgrades, just a widening of the ONLY road past the site. Once again, bureaucratic madness.

We are told that the hospital is urgent, when nothing has been done apart from a 48 million dollars upgrade which is happening now. The doctors were promised an upgrade and expansion which should be almost completed by now back in 2015, but nothing happened.

To change the rules by rezoning this land will detrimentally affect many thousands of residents, and will also damage the health of all the people in homes which will have a view of a nine storey hospital immediately in front of them. Already this place of healing is making many residents sick with worry!

Please come here to see for yourselves just what is at stake.

Aditi, I'm extending a formal invitation to you and some staff to personally see what all the fuss is about.

Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

IP Address: [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=293345

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

This is a letter to Ms Carolyn McNally, as I don't have her email address. Could you please forward this message to her.

Dear Ms McNally,

It concerns me that the hundreds of objection forms your department has received will not be taken seriously, and in fact may not even be read. This government have made their decision that Kingscliff will be the new hospital site, and no amount of community outrage will sway them from this decision. Minister Hazzard and Minister Roberts are literally bulldozing ahead with preliminary construction works before any DA has been approved. It is illegal for a fence with signage to be in place before this objection phase is completed. The decision to relocate the existing hospital was made by Minister Hazzard, and when asked why at a community meeting he told more than two hundred residents that he had simply changed his mind. When asked why he had changed his mind, his response was "Because I can". The fact that one politician can ultimately destroy two villages, farmlands and the lives of thousands of residents is gobsmacking. The experts are the people who live here, not Sydney politicians who make these decisions from afar.

We locals know that the cost of blasting and piling the basalt underlying rock will blow costs out exponentially.

We locals know that our Council does not have the money to undertake many millions of dollars of roadworks necessary for the hospital build.

We locals know that the endangered species on the block will suffer and probably die once all preliminary works have been done.

We locals know that the paid parking option of 700 at grade car parks is dismally inadequate, and our two villages will become a gridlocked nightmare, driving tourists away to other less congested coastal villages.

We locals know from a letter sent to our Mayor that a consultant has already been appointed to encourage allied health providers to relocate to Kingscliff. This is from the Minister who with one signature can dismiss all of our concerns and ignore the hundreds of objections to this site.

We know that tenders have been awarded for preliminary works, despite no official DA consent being given.

We know we are up against two government departments who will do what they are directed to do, which is stick with this site.

What hope do we have?

I am appealing to you to please read our arguments against the site, which you will have today in hard copy as well as in email form, and ignore the fact that many millions have already been spent on this project. There are better alternatives that won't destroy farmland and people's lives and health.

Sincerely,

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=300027

Submission for Job: #9659
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Tom Campbell

Email: tccampbell76@hotmail.com

Address:

Vulcan St

Kingscliff, NSW

2487

Content:

Wrongful rezoning of SS Farmland (as per 1st dot point in the EIS guide below). Land is currently zoned RU1 and is State Significant Farmland It needs to be protected as a national asset, a valuable industry for the Tweed Shire and as food security for future generations.

Triggering of eventual supplementary rezonings adjacent to the Hospital in accordance with the Minister for Planning & Environment's announced plans for an extended "Regional Health Services Precinct" adjoining the Hospital site, thus undermining the remaining prime agricultural land's already at-risk viability threshold of 500ha. It only needs loss of another 30ha to lose its special protection altogether.

Facilitating automatic rezoning of adjoining land to support facilities associated with Tweed Regional Hospital.

Contradicting current height limit restrictions in the Tweed LEP which were established through extensive community consultation.

Rendering years of community consultation and planning (around Kingscliff as a tourist destination) redundant, through the massive social and economic footprint of the hospital.

Setting a precedent for the eventual demise of the Cudgen plateau agricultural sector, with ancillary health services and associated commerce and residential needs taking up additional land in much the same way as the Hospital.

IP Address: - 193.116.212.131

Submission: Online Submission from Tom Campbell (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=294378

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]

Address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Content:

It is a very bad decision to rezone SS Farmland (as per 1st dot point in the EIS guide below). This land is currently zoned RU1 and is State Significant Farmland and must be protected as a national asset, a valuable industry for the Tweed Shire and as food security for future generations.

Land around the hospital will eventually have supplementary rezonings in accordance with the Minister for Planning & Environment's announced plans for an extended "Regional Health Services Precinct" adjoining the Hospital site, therefore undermining the remaining prime agricultural land already at-risk viability threshold of 500ha.

Current height limit restrictions in the Tweed LEP which were established through extensive community consultation are being ignored.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]
Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295615

Submission for Job: #9659
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0
https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Darlene Arkinstall

Organisation: Resident ()

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

Kingscliff, NSW
2487

Content:

I am strongly against changing the zoning on this site.

1. Tweed council has been effectively consulting the community of kingscliff over many years about the ammentiy and planning for kingscliff. Kingscliff residents have consistently identified the need to remain a coastal, tourism and prime agricultural spot for its residents and visitors. The council and residents have been planning for the further development of Tweed Heads as our main city as it can support greater height limits, more traffic and greater urbanisation and expansion.

Kingsliff residents want to maintain the current height restrictions to three stories.Klngscliff does not want to become the gold coast and this is a point of difference.

2. By rezoning the hospital site it will have a subsequent impact on rezoning requests for adjacent land to provide a greater health precinct, as happens in all hospital sites.

3. THis will result in loss of prime agricultural land. This land once cemented over will not be available for fresh food production. This land is not affected by drought. We must protect viable farmlands.

4. Rezongng the land will mpact negatively on both our social and economic footprint and will change our seaside town into a location that is inconsistent with the values and views that have been expressed by our community over many years of consultation and accepted and valued by our council.

5. This change to zoning is inconsistent with Tweed Council plans for our area. Klngslciff is unable to support the urbanisation that comes with such developments, including traffic congestion and demands for parking.

6. I support limited development and rezoning of land in kingscliff BUT NEVER ON PRIME AGRICULTURAL LAND.

7. I support the need for a new hospital - tweed, chinderah or even kings forrestBUT I URGE THE STATE GOVT TO RESPECT OUR COMMUNITY AND OUR VIEWS EXPRESSED OVER MANY YEARS USING AUTHENTIC CONSULTATION PROCESS. THE STATE GOVT CONSULTATION HAS BEEN A FARCE.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from Darlene Arkinstall of Resident (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295695

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Organisation: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I wholehearted support the new Tweed Valley Hospital to be situated at Cudgen. This site is the closest one that meets the criteria set down in the Site Selection report. We need a new and improved facility desperately and trust the powers that be to have made the correct decision as to its placement.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295709

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Alan Wilson

Email: alanwilson33@bigpond.com

Address:

PO Box 258

Bogangar, NSW

2488

Content:

I find the whole process of locating a new Tweed Hospital to State Significant farmland at Cudgen has been most cavalier with complete disregard to the people of Kingscliff. I do not object to a new hospital but not on the State Significant farmland - there are very viable alternatives. Some comments from public figures involved such as 'what's more important, a hospital bed or a sweet potato' can only be seen as offensive to the farming community of this most significant farmland. Do we seriously want to continue to swallow up our food bowls with urban and suburban sprawl?!!! This is precious and unique farmland and the cavalier attitude of simply overthrowing such significance without consultation with the people is equally offensive. I greatly favour the redevelopment and substantial upgrading of the present hospital in Tweed Heads - the infrastructure is already there (unlike Kingscliff) - plus business in Tweed Heads will dramatically suffer with the closure of the present hospital - also worth mentioning the complete disregard for the older people who chose to live in Tweed Heads close to the hospital. I seriously ask all involved here to consider the alternatives.....cheers, Alan

IP Address: - 58.171.89.87

Submission: Online Submission from Alan Wilson (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295715

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: no

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: Christopher York

Email: chris.york@optusnet.com.au

Address:

40 Collins Lane

Casuarina, NSW

2487

Content:

This is a complete disgrace and will permanently and detrimentally impact on the area through all conceivable facets. How this was approved given the impact to the environment, traffic. Community and population is nothing short of disgraceful. I would suggest there is no transparency in the process with local members of government behaving in their own personal interests...full enquiry is warranted.

IP Address: - 203.40.43.224

Submission: Online Submission from Christopher York (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295719

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

I object to the proposed Tweed Valley hospital site at Cudgen due to the fact that it is on State Significant farmland which must be protected for future generations. The soil is fertile highly productive and drought free which is a rare and precious commodity in Australia. We need to ensure that over 500 hectares remains protected otherwise we lose State protection from development. With the hospital and medical precinct as well as farming land which is owned by investors should the hospital go ahead the other farming land will be highly vulnerable to development. Australia needs to preserve this fertile soil.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295723

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Please reconsider the location of new Tweed Hospital, The farm land will be lost forever, one of the few areas not in drought

Traffic will become worse already it is a problem at the TAFE and Highschool in the morning. I don't think Kingscliff needs or can deal with the influx of people and traffic,

It is a beautiful place please help preserve it for future generations of both residents and visitors

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295727

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

Please reconsider the location of new Tweed Hospital, The farm land will be lost forever, one of the few areas not in drought

Traffic will become worse already it is a problem at the TAFE and Highschool in the morning. I don't think Kingscliff needs or can deal with the influx of people and traffic,

It is a beautiful place please help preserve it for future generations of both residents and visitors

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295729

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0

Confidentiality Requested: yes

Submitted by a Planner: no

Disclosable Political Donation:

Agreed to false or misleading information statements: yes

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Content:

[REDACTED] The lack of traffic, population and beautiful views of both water and farms attracted us. After living here now for more than 3 years we have grown to love and appreciate the farmland even more. The rich red fertile soil and abundance of water are amazing natural resources that many farming communities unfortunately do not have. The Kingscliff area is a popular holiday destination for the natural environment and the fresh food of the Tweed Coast. Losing this would be fatal the the town and change it forever into just another suburb, built on soil that is irreplaceable. [REDACTED], can unfortunately not vote but are horrified that a large hospital could possibly be built on this precious farmland. Think of the future generations, local farming families, local residents who have uprooted their lives moved here for peace and quiet and most importantly the lack of perfect farmland. Kingscliff residents do want a new hospital built but not on this precious farmland. Keep the hospital in Tweed Heads. There are other infrastructures already in place in Tweed Heads such as a large Police Station, nursing homes, higher density living, higher population, closer medical resources and very accessible. There are other suitable sites. The Kingscliff site is NOT ideal. On top of all my other reasonings, all roads leading to that area flood! My husband works in emergency services and could not get home. Building a hospital in Kingscliff goes against the 3 storey height limit and opens up other opportunities for big developers to subdivide and build high up. Kingscliff is a small coastal town without any of the required resources that a large hospital requires-extra housing, nursing homes, emergency services, roads and many more. Building a hospital there is absolutely ridiculous! I am against any development on that State Significant Farmland on Cudgen Road. Keep the hospital in Tweed Heads.

IP Address: - [REDACTED]

Submission: Online Submission from [REDACTED] (comments)

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_activity&id=295735

Submission for Job: #9659

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_job&id=9659

Site: #0

https://majorprojects.accelo.com/?action=view_site&id=0